

Programme Major Modification report

Section one

Programme provider name:	University of Lincoln
Programmes reviewed: <i>This is the NMC programme title(s)</i>	Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 <input type="checkbox"/> Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100 <input type="checkbox"/>
AEI programme title(s):	
Current AEI programme title(s): <i>Please include all currently approved programme titles</i>	Independent/supplementary prescriber preparation programme
Modified AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include new title(s) if current AEI programme title(s) are being modified as a result of this modification or add N/A</i>	Independent/Supplementary Prescribing
Additional AEI programme title(s) if applicable: <i>Please include any additional AEI programme title(s) for approval as a result of this modification (for example new routes being added that have a different title to those already approved) or add N/A</i>	N/A
Academic level of current programme:	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11

	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Academic levels of modified/additional programme(s)/route(s):	
Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input type="checkbox"/> N/A
Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A

Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	England, Wales, Northern Ireland <input type="checkbox"/> Level 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 7 SCQF <input type="checkbox"/> Level 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Level 11 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Programme approval dates:	
Date of NMC approval of the programme being modified: <i>This is the approval date under the most recent NMC standards.</i>	20 December 2019
Date(s) of NMC approval of any modifications since last approval:	N/A
Programme start dates:	
Current modification programme start date: Independent and supplementary nurse prescribing V300 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V150 Community practitioner nurse prescribing V100	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; margin-bottom: 5px;">6 September 2023</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px;"></div>
Date of modification:	12 May 2023
Type of modification:	Desktop
QA visitor:	Registrant Visitor: Georgina Ritchie

Section two

Summary of review and findings

The University of Lincoln (UoL), school of health and social care (the school) present a modification to the approved independent and supplementary nurse prescribing (V300) programme. The current programme is in approval by the Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC) at levels six and seven since 20 December 2019. The proposed modification is to offer the programme as a 30-credit programme instead of a 60-credit programme. This modification relates to the level seven provision only as the school have no plans to offer level six provision in the future. The proposed modification includes a redesign of the teaching and learning strategy and amendments to the learning outcomes so that it aligns to a 30-credit programme. A change in programme title is proposed to independent/supplementary prescribing.

This modification is undertaken through desktop documentary review.

Documentary evidence indicates there's a clear rationale for requesting to run a 30-credit programme following feedback from practice learning partners (PLPs) including local workforce leaders, practice assessors and practice supervisors and current or previous students on the programme. This proposed modification aligns the school's provision of an independent and supplementary nurse prescribing programme with similar providers across the approved education institution (AEI) sector.

There's evidence of student population and diversity data collection at programme level and action plans for monitoring and supporting diversity of the student population and their academic attainment at programme and school level.

There's evidence to confirm that the UoL is committed to partnership working with stakeholders including PLPs and students in the development, delivery and evaluation of the programmes at both strategic and operational levels.

The programme is undertaken as a standalone programme or as part of the postgraduate certificate (PG Cert)/postgraduate diploma (PG Dip)/master of science (MSc) integrated professional practice, MSc advanced clinical practice, advanced clinical practice apprenticeship and the Pg Dip healthcare practice programmes.

The programme is mapped against the Standards for prescribing programmes (SPP) (NMC, 2018) and the Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS, 2021) competency framework for all prescribers) (NMC, 2018).

The Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (SFNME) (NMC, 2018) is met at programme level.

The Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018) is met at programme level.

The programme modification is recommended to the NMC for approval.

Recommended outcome of the approval panel	
Recommended outcome to the NMC:	<p>Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/></p>
Conditions:	<p>Effective partnership working: collaboration, culture, communication and resources: None identified.</p> <p>Selection, admission and progression: None identified.</p> <p>Practice learning: None identified.</p> <p>Assessment, fitness for practice and award: None identified.</p> <p>Education governance: management and quality assurance: None identified.</p>

Date condition(s) to be met:	N/A
Recommendations to enhance the programme delivery:	None identified.
Focused areas for future monitoring:	None identified.

Programme is recommended for approval subject to specific conditions being met	
Commentary post review of evidence against conditions	
N/A	
AEI Observations	Observations have been made by the education institution YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Summary of observations made, if applicable	UoL requested two changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the programme title be independent/supplementary prescribing. That the programme start date be updated to 6 September 2023. These changes are made in the report.
Final recommendation made to NMC:	Programme is recommended to the NMC for approval <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended to refuse approval of the programme <input type="checkbox"/>
Date condition(s) met:	N/A

Section three

NMC Programme standards
Please refer to NMC standards reference points Standards for prescribing programmes (NMC, 2018) Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) Competency Framework for all Prescribers) (NMC, 2021) Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (NMC, 2018) Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

NMC Programme standards

[The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates](#) (NMC, 2015 updated 2018)
[Quality assurance framework for nursing, midwifery and nursing associate education](#) (NMC, 2020)
[QA Handbook](#) (NMC, 2022)

Partnerships

The AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders.

Please refer to the following NMC standards reference points for this section:

[Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: The learning culture:

R1.12 ensure programmes are designed, developed, delivered, evaluated and co-produced with service users and other stakeholders

R1.13 work with service providers to demonstrate and promote inter-professional learning and working

Standard 2: Educational governance and quality:

R2.2 all learning environments optimise safety and quality taking account of the diverse needs of, and working in partnership with, service users, students and all other stakeholders

R2.4 comply with NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

R2.5 adopt a partnership approach with shared responsibility for theory and practice supervision, learning and assessment, including clear lines of communication and accountability for the development, delivery, quality assurance and evaluation of their programmes

R2.6 ensure that recruitment and selection of students is open, fair and transparent and includes measures to understand and address underrepresentation

R2.7 ensure that service users and representatives from relevant stakeholder groups are engaged in partnership in student recruitment and selection

Standard 3: Student empowerment:

R3.3 have opportunities throughout their programme to work with and learn from a range of people in a variety of practice placements, preparing them to provide care to people with diverse needs

R3.16 have opportunities throughout their programme to collaborate and learn with and from other professionals, to learn with and from peers, and to develop supervision and leadership skills

R3.17 receive constructive feedback throughout the programme from stakeholders with experience of the programme to promote and encourage reflective learning

R3.18 have opportunities throughout their programme to give feedback on the quality of all aspects of their support and supervision in both theory and practice.

Standard 4: Educators and assessors:

R4.7 liaise and collaborate with colleagues and partner organisations in their approach to supervision and assessment

R4.9 receive and act upon constructive feedback from students and the people they engage with to enhance the effectiveness of their teaching, supervision and assessment

R4.10 share effective practice and learn from others

Standard 5: Curricula and assessment:

R5.4 curricula are developed and evaluated by suitably experienced and qualified educators and practitioners who are accountable for ensuring that the curriculum incorporates relevant programme outcomes

R5.5 curricula are co-produced with stakeholders who have experience relevant to the programme

R5.14 a range of people including service users contribute to student assessment

Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018)

Standard 1: Organisation of practice learning:

R1.4 there are suitable systems, processes, resources and individuals in place to ensure safe and effective coordination of learning within practice learning environments

R1.7 students are empowered to be proactive and to take responsibility for their learning

R1.8 students have opportunities to learn from a range of relevant people in practice learning environments, including service users, registered and non-registered individuals, and other students as appropriate

Standard 2: Expectations of practice supervisors:

R2.2 there is support and oversight of practice supervision to ensure safe and effective learning

Standard 3: Practice supervisors: role and responsibilities:

R3.3 support and supervise students, providing feedback on their progress towards, and achievement of, proficiencies and skills

Standard 4: Practice supervisors: contribution to assessment and progression:

R4.3 have sufficient opportunities to engage with practice assessors and academic assessors to share relevant observations on the conduct, proficiency and achievement of the students they are supervising

Standard 7: Practice assessors: responsibilities:

R7.9 communication and collaboration between practice and academic assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Standard 9: Academic assessors: responsibilities:

R9.6 communication and collaboration between academic and practice assessors is scheduled for relevant points in programme structure and student progression

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary about the effectiveness of the partnerships between the AEI and their practice learning partners, service users, students and any other stakeholders.

Unchanged through this modification.

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#)

MET **NOT MET**

Assurance is provided that the AEI works in partnership with their practice learning partners, service users, students and all other stakeholders as identified in Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

MET **NOT MET**

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met

MET **NOT MET**

N/A

Student journey through the programme
Standard 1: Selection, admission and progression
<p>Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:</p> <p>R1.1 ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme</p> <p>R1.2 provide opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non- NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme</p> <p>R1.3 confirm that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme</p> <p>R1.4 consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers</p> <p>R1.5 confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme</p> <p>R1.6 confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas:</p> <p>R1.6.1 Clinical/health assessment</p> <p>R1.6.2 Diagnostics/care management</p> <p>R1.6.3 Planning and evaluation of care</p> <p>R1.7 ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme</p> <p>Note: Education institutions and their practice learning partners may propose to transfer current students onto the new programme to meet the Standards for prescribing programmes and Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber (adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers). If so, evidence must be provided to support this proposed transfer as part of the education institution's mapping process at Gateway 3.</p>
<p>Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review</p> <p>Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the proposed programme to ensure programme learning outcomes and proficiencies meet the Standards for pre-registration midwifery programmes (NMC, 2019).</p>
<p>Proposed transfer of current students to the Standards for student supervision and assessment (NMC, 2018).</p>

Demonstrate a robust process to transfer current students onto the [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) (NMC, 2018).

Findings against the standard and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of processes to ensure that the applicant is a registered nurse (level 1), a registered midwife or a SCPHN before being considered as eligible to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme (R1.1)

YES NO

- Evidence of selection process that demonstrates opportunities that enable all nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN registrants (including NHS, self-employed or non-NHS employed registrants) to apply for entry onto an NMC approved prescribing programme. Evidence of this statement in documentation such as: programme specification; module descriptor, marketing material. Evidence of this statement on university web pages (R1.2)

YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence that the necessary governance structures are in place (including clinical support, access to protected learning time and employer support where appropriate) to enable students to undertake, and be adequately supported throughout, the programme (R1.3)

MET NOT MET

R1.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to consider recognition of prior learning that is capable of being mapped to the RPS *Competency Framework for all Prescribers* (R1.4)

YES NO

- Processes are in place to confirm on entry that any applicant selected to undertake a prescribing programme has the competence, experience and academic ability to study at the level required for that programme (R1.5)

YES NO

- Processes are in place to confirm that the applicant is capable of safe and effective practice at a level of proficiency appropriate to the programme to be undertaken and their intended area of prescribing practice in the following areas (R1.6):

- Clinical/health assessment
- Diagnostics/care management
- Planning and evaluation

YES NO

- Processes are in place to ensure that applicants for V300 supplementary/independent prescribing programmes have been registered with the NMC for a minimum of one year prior to application for entry onto the programme (R1.7)

YES NO N/A

Proposed transfer of current students to the programme under review

*From your documentary analysis and your meeting with students, provide an **evaluative summary** to confirm how the [Standards for prescribing programmes](#) and [Standards of proficiency for nurse and midwife prescriber \(adoption of the RPS Competency Framework for all Prescribers\)](#) will be met through the transfer of existing students onto the proposed programme.*

The programme team confirms no students are transferring to the proposed programme. One cohort of students will complete the current programme as the new one commences.

Proposed transfer of current students to the [Standards for student supervision and assessment \(SSSA\)](#) (NMC, 2018).

From your documentary analysis and your meetings at the approval visit confirm if students will be transferring to the SSSA, and if so that they have informed choice and are fully prepared for supervision and assessment.

Documentary analysis indicates that the SSSA is well established and fully operational through a collaborative approach between the school and PLPs.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to selection, admission and progression are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met? MET NOT MET

Date: 12 May 2023

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A	
Date condition(s) met:	
N/A	
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A	

Standard 2: Curriculum	
Approved educations institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:	
R2.1 ensure programmes comply with the NMC <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i>	
R2.2 ensure that all prescribing programmes are designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS <i>A Competency Framework for all Prescribers</i> , as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice	
R2.3 state the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies	
R2.4 develop programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice:	
R2.4.1 stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes	
R2.4.2 stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes	
R2.4.3 confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing	
R2.5 ensure that the curriculum provides a balance of theory and practice learning, using a range of learning and teaching strategies	
R2.6 ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language	
Findings against the standard and requirements	
Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education (R2.1) 	
YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	

- There is evidence that the programme is designed to fully deliver the competencies set out in the RPS *Competency Framework for all Prescribers*, as necessary for safe and effective prescribing practice (R2.2).
YES NO

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence of the learning and teaching strategies that will be used to support achievement of those competencies (R2.3)
MET NOT MET

R2.3 is met. Practice learning is evidenced through a practice assessment document which is clearly aligned to the RPS competency framework for all prescribers. This is unchanged through this modification.

The programme is moving from 60-credits to 30-credits. The learning and teaching strategies remain unchanged through this modification. There's clear evidence of how the learning and teaching strategies meet the needs of the 30-credit programme. The proposed 30-credit programme is mapped against the SPP and the RPS competency framework for all prescribers.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of programme outcomes that inform learning in relation to the formulary relevant to the individual's intended scope of prescribing practice (R2.4):
 - stating the general and professional content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
 - stating the prescribing specific content necessary to meet the programme outcomes
 - confirming that the programme outcomes can be applied to all parts of the NMC register: the four fields of nursing practice (adult, mental health, learning disabilities and children's nursing); midwifery; and specialist community public health nursing
YES NO
- The programme structure demonstrates a balance of theory and practice learning. A range of learning and teaching strategies are detailed in the programme specification, programme handbook and module descriptor with theory / practice balance detailed. There are appropriate module aims, descriptors and outcomes specified (2.5)
YES NO

If relevant to the review

- Evidence to ensure that programmes delivered in Wales comply with any legislation which supports the use of the Welsh language. (R2.6)

YES NO N/A

The programme is offered in England.

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to curricula and assessment are met

YES NO

Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) relevant to curricula are met

YES NO

Outcome

Is the standard met?

MET NOT MET

Date: 12 May 2023

Post event review

Identify how the condition(s) is met:

N/A

Date condition(s) met:

N/A

Revised outcome after condition(s) met:

MET NOT MET

N/A

Standard 3: Practice learning

Approved education institutions must:

R3.1 ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed

Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:

R3.2 ensure that practice learning complies with the NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

R3.3 ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment

R3.4 ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#)

Findings against the standard and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence to ensure that suitable and effective arrangements and governance for practice learning are in place for all applicants including arrangements specifically tailored to those applicants who are self-employed (R3.1).

MET **NOT MET**

R3.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- There is evidence that the programme complies with the NMC standards for student supervision and assessment (R3.2)

YES **NO**

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Evidence to ensure technology enhanced and simulation-based learning opportunities are used effectively and proportionately to support learning and assessment (R3.3)

MET **NOT MET**

R3.3 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure that students work in partnership with the education provider and their practice learning partners to arrange supervision and assessment that complies with the NMC *Standards for student supervision and assessment* (R3.4)

YES **NO**

Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to practice learning are met

	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to practice learning are met		
	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO <input type="checkbox"/>
Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 12 May 2023		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A		

Standard 4: Supervision and assessment
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:
R4.1 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education
R4.2 ensure that support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC Standards for student supervision and assessment
R4.3 appoint a programme leader in accordance with the requirements of the NMC Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education . The programme leader of a prescribing programme may be any registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience
R4.4 ensure the programme leader works in conjunction with the lead midwife for education (LME) and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes
R4.5 ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking
R4.5.1 In exceptional circumstances, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the

AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to be carried out by the same person

R4.6 ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking

R4.7 provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes

R4.8 assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice

R4.9 ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies. This includes all students:

R4.9.1 successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80 percent), and

R4.9.2 successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100 percent)

Findings against the standards and requirements

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- There is evidence of how the programme will ensure how support, supervision, learning and assessment provided complies with the NMC [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) (R4.1)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.1 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

- There is evidence of how the [Standards for student supervision and assessment](#) are applied to the programme. There are processes in place to identify the supervisors and assessors along with how they will be prepared for their roles (R4.2)

MET **NOT MET**

R4.2 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Evidence of programme leader being a registered healthcare professional with appropriate knowledge, skills and experience in accordance with the

requirements of the *Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education*. (R4.3)

YES NO

- Evidence of the programme leader working in conjunction with the LME and the practice assessor to ensure adequate support for any midwives undertaking prescribing programmes (R4.4)

YES NO N/A

Provide an evaluative summary from your documentary analysis and evidence AND discussion at the approval visit to demonstrate if assurance is provided that the QA approval criteria below is met or not met

- Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to a practice assessor who is a registered healthcare professional and an experienced prescriber with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking. Processes are in place to ensure that in exceptional circumstances only, the same person may fulfil the role of practice supervisor and practice assessor for that part of the programme where the prescribing student is undergoing training in a practice learning setting. In such instances, the student, practice supervisor/assessor and the AEI will need to evidence why it was necessary for the practice supervisor and assessor roles to be carried out by the same person (R4.5)

MET NOT MET

R4.5 is met. Unchanged through this modification.

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure the student is assigned to an academic assessor who is a registered healthcare professional with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme the student is undertaking (R4.6)

YES NO

- Processes are in place to provide feedback to students throughout the programme to support their development as necessary for meeting the RPS competencies and programme outcomes (R4.7)

YES NO

- Processes are in place to assess the student's suitability for award based on the successful completion of a period of practice-based learning relevant to their field of prescribing practice (R4.8)

YES NO

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes are in place to ensure that all programme learning outcomes are met, addressing all areas necessary to meet the RPS competencies (R4.9). This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - successfully passing a pharmacology exam (the pharmacology exam must be passed with a minimum score of 80%), and - successfully passing a numeracy assessment related to prescribing and calculation of medicines (the numeracy assessment must be passed with a score of 100%). <p style="text-align: right;">YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
<p>Assurance is provided that Gateway 1: Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education relevant to supervision and assessment are met</p> <p style="text-align: right;">YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Assurance is provided that Gateway 2: Standards for student supervision and assessment relevant to supervision and assessment are met</p> <p style="text-align: right;">YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/></p>	
Outcome	
Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 12 May 2023	
Post event review	
Identify how the condition(s) is met:	
N/A	
Date condition(s) met:	
N/A	
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/> NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A	

Standard 5: Qualification to be awarded	
Approved education institutions, together with practice learning partners, must:	
R5.1 following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:	
R5.1.1 a community practitioner nurse or midwife prescriber (V100/V150), or	
R5.1.2 a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300)	

- R5.2 ensure that participation in and successful completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award
- R5.3 inform the student that the award must be registered with us within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber
- R5.4 inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice

Findings against the standards and requirements

Evidence provides assurance that the following QA approval criteria are met:

- Processes are in place to ensure following successful completion of an NMC approved programme of preparation, confirm that the registered nurse (level 1), midwife or SCPHN is eligible to be recorded as a prescriber, in either or both categories of:
 - a community practitioner nurse (or midwife) prescriber (V100/V150), or
 - a nurse or midwife independent/supplementary prescriber (V300) (R5.1)

YES NO
- Evidence to ensure that successful participation in and completion of an NMC approved prescribing programme leads to accreditation at a level equivalent to a bachelor's degree as a minimum award (R5.2)

YES NO
- Processes are in place to inform the student that the award must be registered with the NMC within five years of successfully completing the programme and if they fail to do so they will have to retake and successfully complete the programme in order to qualify and register their award as a prescriber (R5.3)

YES NO
- Processes are in place to inform the student that they may only prescribe once their prescribing qualification has been annotated on the NMC register and they may only prescribe from the formulary they are qualified to prescribe from and within their competence and scope of practice (R5.4)

YES NO

Assurance is provided that the [Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education](#) relevant to the qualification to be awarded are met

YES NO

Outcome		
Is the standard met?	MET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: 12 May 2023		
Post event review		
Identify how the condition(s) is met:		
N/A		
Date condition(s) met:		
N/A		
Revised outcome after condition(s) met:	MET <input type="checkbox"/>	NOT MET <input type="checkbox"/>
N/A		

Section four

Sources of evidence

The following documentation provided by the AEI/education institution was reviewed by the visitor(s):

Key documentation	YES	NO
Programme document, including proposal, rationale and consultation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme specification(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Module descriptors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student facing documentation including: programme handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student university handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice assessment documentation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice placement handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
PAD linked to competence outcomes, and mapped against RPS <i>A Competency Framework for all Prescribers</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education institution has met the <i>Standards framework for nursing and midwifery education</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the <i>Standards for student supervision and assessment</i> (NMC, 2018) apply to the programme(s) (Gateway 2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the programme meets the <i>Standards for prescribing programmes</i> and RPS <i>Standards of proficiency for prescribers</i> (NMC, 2018) (Gateway 3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curricula vitae for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Registered healthcare professionals, experienced prescribers with suitable equivalent qualifications for the programme - registration checked on relevant regulators website	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Written placement agreements between the education institution and associated practice learning partners to support the programme intentions.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:		
List additional documentation: None identified.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

During the event the visitor(s) met the following groups:

	YES	NO
Senior managers of the AEI/education institution with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senior managers from associated practice learning partners with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Programme team/academic assessors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Practice leads/practice supervisors/ practice assessors	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If yes, please identify cohort year/programme of study:		
Service users and carers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation: This modification is undertaken through desktop documentary review.		
Additional comments: None identified.		

The visitor(s) viewed the following areas/facilities during the event:

	YES	NO
Specialist teaching accommodation (e.g. clinical skills/simulation suites)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Library facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Technology enhanced learning Virtual learning environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Educational audit tools/documentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Practice learning environments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If practice learning environments are visited, state where visited/findings:		
System regulator reports reviewed for practice learning partners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
System Regulator Reports List: Northern Lincolnshire and Goole NHS Foundation Trust, Diana Princess of Wales Hospital, Care Quality Commission (CQC) inspection report, 2 December 2022 Doncaster and Bassetlaw Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, CQC inspection report, 19 February 2020 Lincolnshire Community Health Services NHS Trust, CQC inspection report, 27 September 2018 Lincolnshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, CQC inspection report, 22 June 2020 United Lincolnshire Hospitals NHS Trust, CQC inspection report, 8 February 2022		
If you stated no above, please provide the reason and mitigation:		

This modification is undertaken through desktop documentary review.

Additional comments:

None identified.

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record

Final Report

Author(s):	Georgina Ritchie	Date:	12 May 2023
Checked by:	Ian Felstead-Watts	Date:	15 May 2023
Submitted by:	Mubaraq Sanusi	Date:	13 June 2023
Approved by:	Natasha Thompson	Date:	14 June 2023