



Programme Audit/Major Modification report

Programme provider:	Coventry University	
In partnership with: (Associated practice placement providers involved in the delivery of the programme)	George Eliot Hospital NHS Trust (GEH) South Warwickshire NHS Foundation Trust (SWFT) University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust (UHCW)	
Date of review:	16 Jul 2019	
Type of Modification	Desktop	
Provision reviewed:	Registered Midwife - 36M	
Title of current programme:	BSc (Hons) midwifery (three-year)BSc (Hons) midwifery (shortened)	
Title of modified programme if changed:		
Academic level of current programme:	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
Academic level of modified programme if changed:	England, Wales, Northern Ireland Level 5 Level 6 Level 7 SCQF Level 8 Level 9 Level 10 Level 11	
Reviewer:	Sheila Brown	





Outcom	ne of Approval Panel Meeting
Outcome:	Recommended for approval with recommendations
Conditions and NMC	Resources
standard(s)/requirement(s) they relate to:	None identified
	Admission and progression
	None identified
	Practice learning
	None identified
	Fitness for practice
	None identified
	Quality assurance
	None identified
Date conditions to be met:	Not applicable
Recommendations and NMC standard(s)/requirement(s) they relate to: Note: recommendations will be assessed through the AEI annual self-assessment report	Recommendation one: The programme development team are advised to consider involving users of maternity services and student midwives to enhance their engagement and collaboration at every stage of programme development and modification. (Standard 2)
Date conditions met:	
Programme start date:	30 Sep 2019





Summary

Summary of modification request

Coventry University (CU) school of nursing, midwifery and health provides a threeyear BSc (Hons) midwifery programme and a BSc (Hons) midwifery (shortened) programme which were approved in June 2012.

The university presented documentation for a modification to the pre-registration midwifery programmes to change from the Standards to support learning and assessment in practice (SLAiP) (NMC, 2008) to the Standards for student supervision and assessment (SSSA) (NMC, 2018). The modification is solely limited to the process for pre-registration midwifery students' learning and assessment in midwifery practice. Changes are made to the pre-registration midwifery practice assessment documentation (PAD) to reflect the SSSA. All existing students on pre-registration midwifery programmes will transfer to the SSSA in September 2019.

There is evidence of consultation about proposed changes to the approved preregistration midwifery programmes with key stakeholders including students, service users and practice learning partners (PLPs).

A review of documentary evidence and a teleconference provided evidence of effective partnership working between CU, PLPs and student midwives to support the implementation of the SSSA. There is evidence that students have been informed through face-to-face sessions of the plan to implement the SSSA for pre-registration midwifery programmes.

The major modification to pre-registration midwifery programmes is recommended to the NMC for approval. There is one recommendation.

Feedback from key stakeholders

Presenting Team

Not applicable - Desktop review

Mentors, sign-off mentors, practice teachers and employers

Not applicable - Desktop review





Students

Not applicable - Desktop review

Service users and carers

Not applicable - Desktop review

Examples of notable and innovative practice and standards they relate to

None identified

Potential risks to compliance of education standards and standards they relate to

There is evidence that the importance of service user involvement is recognised in future plans for the development of a new pre-registration midwifery programmes. There is service user representation on the NMC standards task and finish group for the development of the new curriculum. The programme development team are advised to consider involving users of maternity services and students to enhance their engagement and collaboration at every stage of programme development and modification. (Recommendation one)

Recommendation one: The programme development team are advised to consider involving users of maternity services and student midwives to enhance their engagement and collaboration at every stage of programme development and modification. (Standard 2)

Potential risks to the student learning environment and standards they relate to

None identified

Any other risks to public protection

None identified

Areas for future monitoring





- Monitor student experiences following the implementation of the SSSA.
- Monitor the experience of practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors following the implementation of the SSSA.

Outcome recommendation

The major modification is recommended to the NMC for approval. One recommendation is made.





NMC Standards

Please refer to the <u>Standards for Pre-Registration Midwifery Education (NMC, 2009)</u>, current programme specific circulars accessed via the <u>NMC Website</u>, EU Directive 2005/36/EC Article 41 (1) and Article 31 (6-7), and Section one of the Mott MacDonald <u>QA Handbook</u>.

Standards for the lead midwife for education			
Standard 1: Appointn	nent of the lead midwife for education		
The NMC requires an a	approved educational institution (AEI) to do the following:		
Appoint a lead midwife for education (LME) who is a practising midwife and has a recorded midwifery teaching qualification on the NMC register.			
Confirm the appointme	Confirm the appointment of an LME with the NMC		
Use the LME for strategic liaison with external agencies such as purchasers of education provision for all matters affecting midwifery education.			
What we found:			
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.		
Outcome:	Standard met		
Date standards			
met:			
Revised outcome:			





Standard 2: Development, delivery and management of midwifery education programmes

The LME shall lead the development, delivery and management of the midwifery education programmes provided by the AEI, ensuring that they comply with the standards established by the NMC.

What we found:

Documentary evidence and discussion during the teleconference confirms that the lead midwife for education (LME) has led the proposed modification in partnership with the midwifery education team, the CU school of nursing, midwifery and health and PLPs. It is evident that the LME is involved in the development, delivery and management of the pre-registration midwifery programmes and in the plans for a new curriculum with relevant stakeholders when the new NMC Standards for pre-registration midwifery programmes are published.

There is evidence that the importance of service user involvement is recognised in future plans for the development of a new pre-registration midwifery programmes. There is service user representation on the NMC standards task and finish group for the development of the new curriculum. The programme development team are advised to consider involving users of maternity services and students to enhance their engagement and collaboration at every stage of programme development and modification. (Recommendation one)

The programme team informed us that students have been made aware of plans for this modification to the programmes. There is evidence of plans to further prepare students for the transfer to the SSSA.

Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	





Standard 3: Signing the supporting declaration of good health and good character

In accordance with rule 6(1)(a)(ii) of the registration rules, the LME shall be responsible, at her discretion, for signing the supporting declarations of good health and good character for all midwifery applications to the register.

What we found:	
Unchanged since pro	gramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards	
met:	
Revised outcome:	

Standards for admission to, and continued participation in, pre-registration midwifery programmes

Age of entry

St. 4

General requirements

The following requirements for selection should be read and operated alongside programme providers' existing policies and procedures:

4.1 Selection

Wherever practicable, the selection process should include a face-to-face meeting.

Programme providers (AEIs and their service partners) are encourage, wherever possible, to involve lay people and midwifery students in the selection process. Depending on local circumstances they may be involved directly or indirectly in selection.





All individuals in the selection process should receive appropriate training, preparation and updating which includes equality and diversity.

Representatives of partner service provider organisations should be directly involved in the selection process.

The views of the individuals directly involved in selecting applicants should be taken into account when making final decisions on whether to accept or reject an applicant.

4.2 Literacy and numeracy

AEIs are required to ensure that applicants for pre-registration midwifery education programmes have provided evidence of literacy and numeracy that includes prior achievement of basic skills sufficient to undertake a pre-registration midwifery programme of education to a satisfactory level of attainment.

4.3 Good health and good character

Applicants must demonstrate that they have good health and good character sufficient for safe and effective practice as a midwife, on entry to, and for continued participation in, programmes leading to registration with the NMC.

Applicants from overseas must meet the good health and good character as defined for UK applicants and additionally those requirements set out by the UK government for healthcare workers from overseas

4.4 Entry to the register

The NMC requires a self-declaration of good health and good character from all those entering the register for the first time. On completion of the midwifery programme the student will submit this self declaration. The declaration is either supported by the LME, whose name has been notified to the Council and who is responsible for midwifery education in the relevant AEI, or by her designated registered midwife substitute. AEIs must be able to provide evidence of having fulfilled this requirement.

What we found:	
Unchanged since programme approval in June 2012.	
Outcome:	Standard met





Date standards	
met:	
Revised outcome:	
Standard 5: Interrupt	ions to pre-registration midwifery education programmes
	must ensure that they have in place processes to manage dy of programmes for whatever reason.
orientation appropriate ensure that the studen	s to a programme it is recommended they have a period of to the length of interruption. Programme providers must t's acquired knowledge and skills remain valid, enabling them ary standards required on completion of the course.
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	

Standard 6: Admission with advanced standing

All applicants, other than those registered as a nurse level one (adult), must complete a minimum three years full-time pre-registration midwifery programme of education.





	eady registered with the NMC as a nurse level one (adult), the tration midwifery education programme shall be no less than	
What we found:		
Unchanged since prog	Unchanged since programme approval in June 2012.	
Outcome:	Standard met	
Date standards met:		
Revised outcome:		
Standard 7: Transfer	between approved educational institutions	
It is the responsibility of transfer.	of AEIs to decide whether or not to accept an application for	
What we found:		
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.	
Outcome:	Standard met	
Date standards met:		
Revised outcome:		





education programmes	
Students can 'step off' a pre-registration midwifery programme of education.	
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
Data atau danda	
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	
Standards for the struprogrammes	ucture and nature of pre-registration midwifery
Standard 9: Academi	c standard of programme
	Is the minimum academic level for entry to the midwives' part of intering pre-registration midwifery programmes is degree level 7).
Scotland – 360 academic credits, 60 of which must be at level nine.	
England, Wales and Northern Ireland – 300 academic credits, 60 of which must be at level H.	
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met





Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	
Standard 10: Length	of programme
Article 31(6-7) of EU D programmes in years, i	irective 2005/36/EC now describes the length of midwifery months and hours.
	pistration midwifery programme of education should be no less valent to 156 weeks full time) and each year shall contain 45
Three year direct entry	programme – 4,600 hours (minimum requirement)
the length of the pre-re	Iready registered with the NMC as a nurse level one (adult), gistration midwifery programme of education shall not be less alent to 78 weeks full time).
Eighteen month midwif 3,000 hours (minimum	ery programme (following qualification as an adult nurse) – requirement).
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	





Standard 11: Student support

Midwife teachers and midwife mentors must meet the NMC's standards to support learning and assessment in practice.

What we found:

Documentary evidence and assurance is provided by the midwifery education team and PLPs that there's a clear strategy for transfer arrangements and preparation of midwives for the implementation of the SSSA.

All existing pre-registration midwifery students will transfer to the SSSA in September 2019. Documentary evidence and confirmation during the teleconference confirmed that there has been engagement with, and consent by student midwives for the implementation of the SSSA.

There are locally agreed plans between the CU and PLPs for the preparation and ongoing support for the new roles of practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor. Documentary evidence outlines the transition arrangements for implementation of the SSSA with clear guidelines for the preparation, support and monitoring of new roles. There are agreed plans for the preparation of current mentors to practice supervisors and practice assessors. Preparation of academic staff to undertake the role of academic assessors has also been outlined. The documentation confirms that practice assessors and academic assessors must have due regard.

Academic assessors will only be midwifery staff employed by the university in a substantive post who have undergone preparation to be an academic assessor provided by CU. The allocation of the academic assessor to each student will be recorded by the module leader and course director to ensure the academic assessor will not be allocated to a student for consecutive parts of the programme.

Academic assessors will attend a one and a half hour workshop and review a training presentation which reiterates the importance of using evidence to make decisions about a student's conduct, proficiency, achievements and make recommendations for student progression. They will also complete a self-assessment and self-declaration template against the NMC minimum standards set out in the SSSA R10.2 (NMC, 2018).

Preparation session plans have been developed to update current midwives, midwife mentors and educators in preparation for implementation of the SSSA. A guidance





handbook for midwives clearly explains the roles of practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor. Roles are also clearly outlined in student facing documents. All practice assessors will complete an annual self-assessment and self-declaration regarding their role and their associated skills and knowledge. This self-assessment includes the opportunity to create a personalised action plan for development which can be discussed at the midwife's personal development review (PDR).

A process for transferring existing mentors to the practice supervisor and practice assessor roles by undertaking appropriate preparation has been developed. Current mentors will be provided with a half day preparation session to transfer to the roles of practice supervisor and practice assessor. New midwifery staff will be provided with two days of preparation for the role of practice assessor.

Pre-registration midwifery students will be provided with a preparation session about the practice supervisor role within the final year/part of the pre-registration midwifery programmes. This will prepare them to undertake the role of practice supervisor after qualification as a midwife and following completion of a preceptorship programme.

Students will be supported by a nominated person in practice learning areas who will allocate practice supervisors. Practice supervisors will contribute to the student's record of achievement by documenting in the student clinical logbook or PAD. The practice assessor is responsible for reviewing feedback within the student's PAD and confirming student achievement. Practice assessors will work in partnership with practice supervisors and academic assessors to collate and confirm student progression. Documentary evidence confirms that students are aware that practice supervisors can be other registered healthcare professionals.

Documentary evidence confirms that PLPs will keep a database of suitably prepared practice assessors. PLPs will continue to record compliance with midwives' revalidation, appraisal and other mandated training and this will be reported via the practice education quality group meetings.

There is evidence to suggest that a clear strategy has been developed for preparation of practice learning teams to support the transfer to the SSSA for the BSc (Hons) midwifery programmes. It is evident that planning, preparation and support for the roles will meet the SSSA requirements.

Mechanisms are in place to enable students to raise concerns in practice learning. These mechanisms are unchanged from the programme approval in June 2012.

Outcome:	Standard met





Date standards	
met:	
Revised outcome:	
	,
Standard 12: Balance	e between clinical practice and theory
Since September 2008	B, the practice to theory ratio of each programme is required to
•	cent practice and no less than 40 percent theory.
	out practice and he lose than to percent theory.
100	
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	gramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
	T
Date standards	
met:	
Revised outcome:	

Standard 13: Scope of practice experience

Where the opportunity is available, students should be involved in supporting women birthing in a variety of settings.

Student midwives must be involved in the care of a small group of women throughout their childbirth experience, including antenatal, intrapartum and postnatal care.





What we found:				
experiences for studen	the SSSA will not change the scope of practice learning at midwives. Student midwives continue to be exposed to a			
variety of practice leaff	ning experiences, including the opportunity for caseloading.			
Outcome:	Standard met			
Date standards				
met:				
Revised outcome:				
Standard 14: Superni	umerary status during clinical placement			
Students undertaking p	ore-registration midwifery education programmes cannot be			
employed to provide midwifery care during their training – all clinical experience				
should be education-led with students having supernumerary status for the duration.				
What we found:				
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.			
Outcome:	Standard met			
Date standards				
met:				
Revised outcome:				
	,			





Standard 15: Assessment strategy

Clinical practice must be graded and be counted as part of the academic award.

All outcomes within a progression point period (for example an academic year) have to be achieved and confirmed within 12 weeks of entering the next academic level. All assessments must be completed and have been passed prior to successful completion of the programme.

A student midwife shall achieve these standards under the supervision of a sign-off mentor.

What we found:

There is no change to the grading of practice or timelines within the assessment strategy.

Documentary evidence and the teleconference confirms that the PADs have been updated to outline the roles and responsibilities of the practice learning team (practice supervisors, practice assessors and academic assessors). There is clear guidance about their individual contributions to the assessment and progression of students in accordance with the SSSA.

It is clear that the academic assessor will liaise closely with the practice assessor to evaluate students' performance to make recommendations for their progression in each part of the programme.

The structure of the approved pre-registration midwifery programmes is not affected by the implementation of the SSSA therefore progression points are unchanged. The process of grading midwifery practice in the modified PAD has not been changed. The PAD has been amended to include sections for feedback notes from practice supervisors to facilitate the practice assessor's assessment of students.

The communication between the practice supervisors, practice assessor and academic assessor is recorded in the PAD and will enable the summative assessment of students in practice learning. The academic assessor will work in partnership with the practice assessor. Practice supervisors will not simultaneously be practice assessors for a student. Students will be allocated a different academic assessor for each part of the programme.

Practice assessors will inform the academic assessor if the student is having difficulties in practice and they will be involved in the production of a student developmental action plan. There are action plan templates to support students failing to achieve the requirements for progression. Practice supervisors and practice





assessors are made a performance, if necess	ware of guidance to raise concerns about students' sary.
Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	
Standard 16: Ongoin	g record of achievement
	achievement, including comments from mentors, must be ement to the next to enable judgements to be made on the
What we found:	
logbook informs the prongoing achievement. practice learning team	ion documented by the practice supervisor in the clinical ractice assessor's evaluation and consideration of a student's. The ongoing record of achievement (ORA) provides the with information regarding the practice assessors assessment evious practice learning areas.
There is documentary SSSA.	evidence that the ORA has been modified to align with the
Outcome:	Standard met





Date standards	
met:	
Revised outcome:	
Achieving the NMC s	tandards
Standard 17: Compet	tencies required to achieve the NMC standards
practise safely and effe	roficient in all standards by the end of their training in order to ectively as a midwife without the need for direct supervision. A rate competence in these standards to enter the register as a
What we found:	
Unchanged since prog	ramme approval in June 2012.
Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	

NMC Circular 03/2011

Resources to support programme intentions

Programme providers must provide evidence at programme approval that resources are currently available to support programme intentions.





What we found:

There are robust mechanisms and resources to support student learning in practice to meet implementation of the SSSA.

The midwifery education team informed us that there are suitable systems, processes and staff resources within CU and PLP organisations to ensure safe and effective coordination and support of practice learning through the implementation of the SSSA.

Evidence in the professional advisory group (PAG) meeting minutes confirms practice learning support and resources to support the implementation of the SSSA.

Outcome:	Standard met
Date standards met:	
Revised outcome:	

Evidence and references list

NMC programme approval report: CU BSC (Hons) midwifery three-year programme, 29 June 2013

NMC programme approval report: CU BSC (Hons) midwifery 18 month shortened programme, 29 June 2013

CU BSc (Hons) midwifery mapping tool modification SSSA, 1 July 2019

CU BSc (Hons) midwifery record of major modification teleconference, 16 July 2019

CU NMC education framework task and finish group terms of reference, 15 November 2018

CU NMC education framework task and finish group membership, 11 January 2019

CU NMC education framework task and finish group meeting minutes, 5 November 2018, 25 January 2019, 22 February 2019





- CU NMC education framework task and finish group action log, February 2019
- CU midwifery professional advisory group terms of reference, January 2019
- CU midwifery professional advisory group meeting minutes, 5 December 2018,
- 30 January 2019
- CU NMC transition of course to SSSA, undated
- CU introduction to SSSA sessions for students, undated
- CU NMC course implementation action plans for the SSSA, undated
- CU SSSA newsletter, February 2019
- CU NMC new standards raising awareness presentation, undated
- CU SSSA flowchart for coordination of student learning in practice, undated
- CU partnership group meeting minutes, 19 October 2018
- CU roles and responsibilities of practice supervisor, practice assessor and academic assessor, undated
- CU preparation for academic assessor, undated
- CU preparation for practice supervisor and practice assessor roles workshop plan, undated
- CU presentation for the practice assessor role, undated
- CU scheme of work for preparation of practice assessor, undated
- SWFT, GEH, UHCW and UHCW Rugby mentor update workshop dates, 2019
- CU practice education governance document, March 2019
- CU student handbook SSSA information for students in practice undertaking NMC approved courses, undated
- CU organisation roles and responsibilities for implementation of SSSA, March 2019
- CU BSc (Hons) midwifery caseload logbook, June 2019
- CU BSc (Hons) midwifery programme, programme specifications, March 2019
- CU BSc (Hons) midwifery logbook programme, March 2018
- CU BSc (Hons) midwifery logbook, March 2019
- CU BSc (Hons) midwifery ORA, March 2019
- CU academic assessor summary of feedback, undated

Personnel supporting programme approval

Members of Approval Panel





Not applicable - Desktop review	
---------------------------------	--

Programme Presenters

The QA visitor had a teleconference on 16 July 2019 with:

CU LME

CU interim associate dean, quality and accreditation

CU placements manager

CU head of school, nursing, midwifery and health

CU interim associate head of school for quality and accreditation

CU senior lecturer in midwifery; course director BSc (Hons) midwifery (three year),

BSc (Hons) midwifery (shortened) programmes

	Were any	service	providers	visited?
--	----------	---------	-----------	----------

Not applicable - Desktop review

Meetings with others

Mentors / sign-off mentors	
Practice teachers	
Service users / Carers	
Practice Education Facilitator	
Director / manager nursing	
Director / manager midwifery	
Education commissioners or equivalent	
Designated Medical Practitioners	
Other (please specify)	

If there were no representatives present during the approval event please state why:

Not applicable - Desktop review





Nursing									
Adult	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3			Year 4	
Mental Health	Year 1		Yea	ır 2		Yea	r 3		Year 4
Children's	Year 1		Yea	ır 2		Yea	r 3		Year 4
Learning Disabilities	Year 1		Yea	ır 2	Year 3			Year 4	
Midwifery (3 year)	Year 1 Year 2		Yea	r 3					
Midwifery (18 month)	Year 1 Year 2 HV SN OH Mentor Practice Teacher								
SCPHN			ОН		FH	IN	RPHN		
Learning and Assessment in Practice						Teacher			
Nurse Prescribing	V100		V150		V300				
Specialist Practice	Adult		Mental Health			Chi	Children's		
	Learning Disability				neral rsing	Pract	ice	Mer	nmunity ntal Health sing





	Community Learning Disabilities Nursing	Community Children's Nursing	District Nursing

Additional evidence viewed

No additional evidence was viewed.

Mott MacDonald Group Disclaimer

This document is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose.

We accept no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

Issue record			
Author:	Sheila Brown	Date:	18 Aug 2019
Checked by:	Judith Porch	Date:	08 Sep 2019
Approved by:	Leeann Greer	Date:	11 Sep 2019